THOMAS JEFFERSON SCHOOL OF LAW TORTS II COURSE SYLLABUS Professor Bisom-Rapp Spring 2014

I. General Information

Class meetings: Monday and Wednesday, 10:00 – 11:15 am

Professor's Office: Room 740

Email: susanb@tjsl.edu
Phone: 619.961.4208

Office hours: I hold office hours on Monday and Wednesday. Please send me an email message

to make an appointment. I am happy to meet with you.

Preferred contact method: Drop by the office or send email. I am very responsive to email. **Learning assistant (LA):** Tom Wiseman. Tom will hold weekly office hours and group meetings, and will prepare weekly study questions for both sections. Tom can be reached at: wisemata@tjsl.edu

Course website – TWEN: Please sign up by Monday, January 13th. I post a lot of useful and important material on the TWEN site, including past midterms, past final exams, and PowerPoint slides from the class sessions. Tom Wiseman maintains a folder on the TWEN site with the weekly study questions.

II. <u>Course Description</u>

Tort law provides the rules to answer a central question: Under what circumstances should the losses suffered by an injured victim be shifted to another party? Torts II is the advanced course on the law governing liability and compensation for harms caused by civil wrongs, other than contractual breaches. Topics covered include: strict liability, vicarious liability, misrepresentation, products liability, nuisance, and defamation. Defenses and limitations to these actions are covered as well. Additional topics, which will be addressed if time allows, include: privacy, misuse of legal procedure, and interference with business relationships. Regarding all the course topics, we consider whether tort law fulfills its goals of deterring wrong-doing and unsafe conduct, and providing compensation to wrongfully injured parties. We also examine the ways in which tort law encourages personal accountability, social responsibility, and the establishment of community standards.

III. Learning Outcomes/Essential Skills

This course helps students develop skills that are essential to legal practice. These skills require significant effort to master. I have high expectations for my students. You are legal professionals-in-training. Together we will work hard, and also have fun, so that at the completion of the course you should be able to engage in and demonstrate knowledge of:

1. Case analysis. After reading a case, you should be able to identify the case's procedural posture, background facts, legally significant facts, the issue(s) at hand, the legal rule(s) applied, the holding, the court's reasoning, the reasoning of

any concurrence or dissent, and the court's disposition. You should also be able to synthesize a line of related cases and understand how to apply case law to new factual situations. To achieve this outcome, we will engage in Socratic dialog about the class readings and you will diligently prepare original briefs of all cases assigned. **Book briefs are not acceptable for this class.** From time-to-time, I may ask students to turn in their briefs for review.

- 2. Legal argumentation. Using the IRAC format, you should be able in writing and orally to make an effective legal argument that: identifies legal issues; provides the legal rules applicable to a given set of facts; applies those rules and the relevant facts in a way that supports a position and distinguishes unfavorable rules and facts; and provides the appropriate conclusion or outcome. We will develop this skill through a practice midterm, the midterm examination, and the final examination. In class you may be asked to analyze a problem orally using the IRAC format. You will also have the opportunity to turn in practice essays to me or to LA Tom Wiseman throughout the semester.
- 3. Tort law content. You should be able to articulate the elements and related doctrines of all the causes of action covered in the course. You should also be able to articulate the defenses and limitations applicable to the torts you learn, and the interrelationship among different torts causes of action. These concepts are tested on the bar exam and require your mastery. These rules will be covered in your readings, in PowerPoint presentations, in class discussions, in LA Tom Wiseman's weekly study questions, and tested on the practice midterm, the midterm examination, and the final examination.
- 4. Legal problem-solving and client counseling. You should understand some basic skills required to counsel clients about legal issues. These include fact investigation, identifying legal issues in simple and complex circumstances, how evidence might be obtained to assist the client in proving a case, and identifying different alternatives that might achieve a client's goals. This outcome will be pursued primarily through role playing in class.

IV. Grading

Your grade for the course will be calculated by totaling the points you earn on the midterm and the final examination. That point total is subject to Thomas Jefferson School of Law's first year curve. The midterm examination is worth a maximum of ten percent (10%) of the total points available for the course, and is a one hour examination. The midterm will consist of fourteen multiple choice questions and an essay. Each of the two components of the midterm should be completed in thirty minutes.

The final examination is worth a maximum of ninety percent (90%) of the total points available for the course, and represents three hours of testing. That examination consists of twenty-six multiple choice questions and two essays. Each of the three components of the final exam should

be completed in one hour. Each student will receive an individualized grading sheet indicating the points earned for each issue and sub-issue raised in the midterm and the final exam essays.

V. <u>Classroom Expectations</u>

Studying law is a demanding endeavor that prepares you for the rigors of being a lawyer. Being prompt and showing up for client meetings and court appearances is expected of a legal professional. The same is true for law students. Once in the classroom, professional conduct is required. I expect students to adhere to the following requirements:

- 1. You must attend class regularly. The law school's attendance policy allows no more than four absences in this class. You should aim, if possible, for perfect attendance.
- 2. You must be punctual. Please plan to be in the classroom a few minutes ahead of the time we are scheduled to begin.
- 3. You must be prepared and attentive. Listen to your professor and your fellow classmates, and be prepared to participate when called upon.
- 4. You must be respectful. The classroom environment is an effective laboratory for learning when everyone feels he or she can participate without ridicule. Talking out of turn in class is not acceptable. We can learn a lot from one another if we listen carefully. I will be listening carefully too.
- 5. You must use your laptop appropriately. Laptops are for taking notes, and for reviewing case briefs and other class materials. The use of laptops or other electronic devices for non-classroom related activity such as text-messaging, reviewing email, playing electronic games, shopping, or viewing non-course related material is prohibited.

VI. Required Texts & Schedule of Assignments

Required texts:

Schwartz, Kelly and Partlett, PROSSER, WADE AND SCHWARTZ'S TORTS, 12th Edition, Foundation Press (2010) (**CB**)

Glannon, THE LAW OF TORTS: EXAMPLES AND EXPLANATIONS, Fourth Edition, Aspen (2010) (GL)

Diamond, Levine, and Madden, UNDERSTANDING TORTS, Fourth Edition, LexisNexis (2010) (UT)

Schedule of assignments:

Note: Each week consists of two class sessions. Class will not be held on Wednesday, January 15th.

<u>WEEK</u>	<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>CB</u>	<u>GL</u>	<u>UT</u>
1	Strict Liability Animals Rylands v Fletcher Indiana Harbor Foster v Preston Mill Golden v Amory Sandy v Bushey	713-719 719-726 729-737 737-739 739-741 741-744	321-341	247-263
2	Vicarious Liability Murrell v. Goertz Bussard v. Minimned O'Shea v. Welch Maloney v. Rath	688-689 695-697 689-691 691-695 697-701	509-531	203-204
3	Misrepresentation Swinton v. Whitinsville Savings Griffith v. Byers Constr. Co. Derry v. Peek International Products v. Erie Credit Alliance v. Arthur Anderson	1060-1062 1062-1064 1064-1067 1067-1071 1071-1074 1083-1089		332-337 169-176
	Williams v. Rank & Son Buick	1097-1101		
4	Vulcan Metals v. Simmons Mfg. McElrath v. Electric Investment Burgdorfer v. Thielemann Catch up or start on Products Liability	1103-1105 1107-1110 1110-1112		
5	Products Liability MacPherson v. Buick Baxter v. Ford Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors Greenman v. Yuba Power Products Product Defects Rix v. General Motors	745-746 746-748; 422 748-754 754-760 760-766 766-768 768-771	343-344 344-345 345-346 346-348 348-355	266-267 267-268 297-301 301-306 268-279
6	Prentis v. Yale Mfg. O'Brien v. Muskin Corp. Anderson v. Owens-Corning Daly v. General Motors Ford v. Matthews	771-778 778-786 786-794 799-804 804-807		278-287
	Preemption Damages	skim 815-817 828-830		296-297

MIDTERM EXAM: Tentative date and time – Monday, March 3, 2014, 1:00 – 2:00 p.m.

<u>WEEK</u>	TOPIC	<u>CB</u>	<u>GL</u>	<u>UT</u>
7	Nuisance	833-834		308-324
	Phil Electric v. Hercules	834-839		
	Morgan v. High Penn Oil	840-842		
	Carpenter v. Double R Cattle	843-847		
	Winget v. Winn-Dixie	847-850		
	Boomer v. Atlantic Cement	850-857		
	Spur Industries v. Del Webb	857-861		
8	Defamation	864-865		356-360
	Belli v. Orlando Newspapers	865-869		
	Grant v. Reader's Digest	869-872		
	Neiman Marcus v. Lait	876-881		
	Killian v. Doubleday	872-876		
	Economopoulos v. Pollard	893-896		
	Carafano v. Metrosplash.com	896-902		
9	Libel and Slander	886-887		361-362
	Slander per se	891-893		
	Shor v. Billingsley	887-889		
	Terwilliger v. Wands	889-891		
	New York Times v. Sullivan	907-916		365-371
	St. Amant v. Thompson	916-918		
10	Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.	929-938		
	Dun & Bradstreet v. Greenmoss	938-943		
	Defenses and Privileges	959-962		362-365
	Sindorf v. Jacron Sales	962-969		
11	Catch up			
	Privacy	976-977		
	Joe Dickerson v. Dittmar	977-988		373-376
	Sanders v. ABC	988-996		378-380
	Hall v. Post	997-1008		
12	Misuse of Legal Procedure			347-353
	Texas Skaggs v. Graves	1041-1048		
	Friedman v. Dozorc	1048-1055		
	Granger v. Hill	1055-1059		
13	Interference with Business Relation	-		338-343
	Lumley v. Gye	1128-1130		
	Bacon v. St. Paul Stockyards	1131		
	Della Penna v. Toyota	1131-1143		
	Adler Barish v. Epstein	1145-1153		
	Brimelow v. Casson	1154-1157		
14	Catch up and Review			